Case 05-29960 Filed 11/06/06 Doc 38 **FILED** NOV - 6 2006 1 2 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COUN 4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 6 7 8 In re Case No. 05-29960-A-7 9 DCN: BLL-2 FARNSWORTH INSURANCE 10 SERVICES, INC., 11 Date: October 23, 2006 Debtor. Time: 9:00 a.m. 12 13 14 MEMORANDUM 15 The trustee seeks approval of a settlement agreement between the estate and Kurt Farnsworth, the debtor's principal, over the estate's interest in insurance premium renewal payments ("renewal 17 accounts"). 18 19 Under the terms of the settlement agreement, Mr. Farnsworth will pay the estate \$15,000 and, in exchange, the estate will 20 21 release its interest in the renewal accounts and claim against 22 Mr. Farnsworth for renewal accounts he may have collected.

On a motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Fed. R. Bankr.

P. 9019. Approval of a compromise must be based upon

trustee further seeks approval of compensation and reimbursement

of expenses for his counsel, based on a one-third contingency fee

agreement previously approved by the court.

23

24

25

26

1 considerations of fairness and equity. In re A & C Properties, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986). The court must consider and balance four factors: 1) the probability of success in the |litigation; 2) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the 5 matter of collection; 3) the complexity of the litigation 6 involved; and 4) the paramount interest of the creditors with a 7 proper deference to their reasonable views. <u>In re Woodson</u>, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988).

The court finds that the Woodson factors balance in favor of 10 approving the compromise. That is, considering the modest amount 11 in controversy, approximately \$25,000, the risks, delay, and 12 costs of litigation, Mr. Farnsworth's questionable ability to 13 satisfy a judgment in excess of the settlement amount, and the 14 modest discount being paid on the renewal accounts, the 15 settlement is equitable and fair. Therefore, the court finds the 16 compromise to be in the best interests of the creditors and the 17 estate.

Also, the court may give weight to the opinions of the 19 trustee, the parties, and their attorneys. <u>In re Blair</u>, 538 F.2d 20 849, 851 (9th Cir. 1976). Furthermore, the law favors compromise 21 and not litigation for its own sake. <u>Id</u>.

The compromise will be approved.

9

18

22

23

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1)(A)&(B) permits approval of "reasonable 24 compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by . . . [a] 25 professional person" and "reimbursement for actual, necessary 26 expenses." The services of the trustee's counsel included, 27 without limitation: (1) examining Mr. Farnsworth about the 28 debtor's business practices; (2) reviewing the debtor's business

## Case 05-29960 Filed 11/06/06 Doc 38

1 records; (3) investigating the estate's interest in assets that could be liquidated; (4) analyzing the merits and risks of prosecuting an action against Mr. Farnsworth, relating to the insurance premium renewal accounts; (5) negotiating a settlement agreement with Mr. Farnsworth over the estate's interest in the accounts; and (6) obtaining court approval of the settlement agreement.

The court finds that the requested compensation is for actual and necessary services rendered to the trustee in 10 connection with his administration of this estate. And, the terms of the previously approved contingency fee agreement have 12 not proven improvident in light of subsequent developments.

The compensation and expense reimbursement will be approved 14 according to the terms of counsel's employment as previously 15 approved by the court.

The trustee shall lodge a proposed order.

17 Dated: Nov. 6 2006

By the Court

Michael S. McManus, Chief Judge

United States Bankruptcy Court

19 20

3

7

8

11

13

16

18

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned deputy clerk in the office of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California hereby certifies that a copy of the document to which this certificate is attached was mailed today to the following entities listed at the address shown on the attached list or shown below.

MARK W. BRIDEN 691 MARAGLIA DR #D PO BOX 493085 REDDING CA 96049-3085

JOHN W. REGER PO BOX 933 PALO CEDRO CA 96073 BYRON LEE LYNCH 1805 HILL TOP DR #202 REDDING CA 96002

U.S.TRUSTEE 501 I ST #7-500 SACRAMENTO CA 95814 FARNSWORTH
INSURANCE AGENCY
1005 YUBA
REDDING CA 96001

MARK VEGH 420 REDCLIFF DR PO BOX 492396 REDDING CA 96049

**DATED:** 11/7/06

Deputy Clerk

EDC 3-070 (New 4/21/00)